l
l
Ì

26

27

28

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

q	ERK, U.S	FILED DISTRI	CT COU	ना
11	MAR	7/2	016	
CENTR BY	AL QIST	OF O	CALIFOR	NIA VIV
	V			

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

) NO. 2:16-MJ-00693-DUTY

v.  MICHAEL BRUCE MCDONALD,  Defendant.		PURSUANT TO BAIL REFORM ACT  )  /
		ý)
		I.
A. (X	X) On motion of the Governmen	nt in a case allegedly involving:
	1. ( ) a crime of violence;	
	2. ( ) an offense with a max	imum sentence of life imprisonment or death;
	3. ( ) a narcotics or controll ten or more years;	led substance offense with a maximum sentence of
	4. ( ) any felony - where to prior offenses described	he defendant has been convicted of two or more ed above;
	5. (X) any felony that is not	otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor

- 11		
1		victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any
2		other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.
3	B. (X)	On motion by the Government / ( ) on Court's own motion, in a case allegedly
4		involving:
5		1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee;
6		2. ( ) a serious risk that the defendant will:
7		a. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice;
8		b. ( ) threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or
9		attempt to do so.
10	C.	The Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
11		condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
12		appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.
13		
14		II.
15	A. (X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
16		assure:
17		1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.
18		(X) and/or
19		2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.
20	В. ()	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to the
21		contrary the presumption provided by statute.
22		
23		III.
24	The	Court has considered:
25	A. the r	nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged;
26	B. the v	weight of evidence against the defendant;
27	C. the l	nistory and characteristics of the defendant; and
28	D. the	nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.

1 IV. 2 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the 3 arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report and recommendation. 4 5 6 V. 7 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 8 A. (X) The history and characteristics of the defendant indicate a serious risk 9 that he will flee, because defendant is from the Philippines. 10 (X) В. The defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons or the community 11 because of the nature and seriousness of the allegations in this case. 12 13 VI. 14 A. ( ) The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will: 15 1. ( ) obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 16 2. ( ) attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror. 17 18 19 VII. 20 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending a detention 21 hearing set for April 8, 2016 at 10:00 am before the Honorable Karen L. Stevenson, United States Magistrate Judge for the Central District of California 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the 23 B. 24 Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent 25 practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody 26 pending appeal. C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity 27 28 for private consultation with counsel.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 4, 2016

D.

KAREN L. STEVENSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE